

#### Schools Forum

Tuesday, 12 December 2017 2.00 pm Kingston Centre, Fairway, Stafford ST16 3TW

> John Tradewell Director of Strategy, Governance and Change 4 December 2017

#### AGENDA

Part One

#### 1. Apologies

2. **Declarations of Interest** 

#### 3. New Schools Funding Formula - National Funding Formula

(Pages 1 - 6)

Report of the Director of Finance and Resources and the Deputy Chief Executive and Director for Families and Communities

#### 4. Date of next meeting

The next Schools Forum meeting is scheduled for Tuesday 16 January 2018, at 2.00 pm at the Kingston Centre, Stafford.

#### Part Two

The Chairman to move: 'That the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business which involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraph of Part 1 of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 indicated below'



#### Membership

Wendy Keeble Richard Osborne Wendy Whelan Lesley Wells Philip Siddell Richard Redgate Claire Shaw Alison Gibson Stuart Jones Philip Tapp (Vice-Chairman) Kirsty Rogers Karen Dobson Wendy Horden Ally Harvey Sara Bailey David Ellison Chris Wright Jonathan Jones Steve Barr (Chairman) Kevin Allbutt Steve Swatton Judy Wyman Claire Evans Richard Hinton (Observer) Liz Threlkeld Matthew Baxter Nicky Crookshank Richard Lane

#### Local Authority Observers

Mark Sutton Philip White

#### **Core Officers**

Sara Pitt Alison Barnes Will Wilkes Deborah Fern Julie Roberts Andrew Marsden Tim Moss

### Schools Forum – 12<sup>th</sup> December 2017

#### **New Schools Funding Formula – National Funding Formula**

#### Recommendation

1. That the Schools Forum approves the new funding formula for Staffordshire schools during the 'soft years' of the National Funding Formula (NFF), from 1 April 2018.

# Report of the Director of Finance and Resources and the Deputy Chief Executive and Director for Families and Communities

#### PART A

#### Why is it coming here – what decision is required?

2. The 'Schools and Early Years Financial Regulations 2017' and 'Schools revenue funding 2018 to 2019: Operational Guide' stipulate that schools (maintained and academies) and Schools Forum must be consulted on any changes in the formula and these must be politically ratified.

#### PART B

#### Background

- 3. The DfE pledged to introduce a NFF to address the unfairness of funding between schools in differing local authorities. In September 2017 the DfE announced the formula they propose for the NFF, which is built on the characteristics of each individual school.
- 4. It is the Government's objective to move to a 'hard' NFF in time. However in 2018/19 and 2019/20 the Government will be operating a 'soft' formula. In these years the local authority may continue to determine the formula schools use in their county boundaries.
- 5. The Government announced in July an additional £1.3bn by 2019/20, with £416m being announced for 2018/19. The injection of this extra cash along with the revision of the Government allocation based on the new formula would see Staffordshire receive an extra £9.5m; this is based on the October 16 census data. This is only an indicative allocation, with the actual allocation expected from the DfE in mid-December 2017.

#### Staffordshire impact of NFF

- 6. The new rates are shown in **Appendix 1.**
- 7. Based on the indicative figures released by the DfE in consultation 2,
  - Staffordshire schools would receive a gain of £9.5m, or 2.1%, from 2017/18 baseline position.
  - Staffordshire secondary schools would be the biggest gainers (2.9% gain) due to the increase in per pupil allocation for KS3 pupils.
  - Staffordshire middle schools would be the smallest gainers (0.9% gain), due to the reduced lump sum for secondary schools and the effect of a lower per pupil funding rate for a primary pupil. This would be counteracted by an increased KS3 per pupil rate.
- 8. The breakdown is shown below across the phases.

			Gainers							
Row Labels	Sum of 2017/18 Baseline Position	Sum of 2018/19 NFF allocation		% gain from new formula	<1%	<2%	<3%	>3%	Total	
Middle	23,981,000.00	24,191,000.00	210,000.00	0.9%	10	3	1	-	14	
Primary	240,692,000.00	244,493,000.00	3,801,000.00	1.6%	105	73	117	-	295	
Secondary	191,241,000.00	196,756,000.00	5,515,000.00	2.9%	5	3	47	-	55	
Grand Total	455,914,000.00	465,440,000.00	9,526,000.00	2.1%	120	79	165	· · · ·	364	
					33%	22%	45%		100%	

- 9. In the NFF there are protections in the form of minimum funding levels. These are £4,600 and £3,300 per pupil for secondary and primary pupils in 2018/19. This will rise to £4,800 and £3,500 by 2019/20. All schools will be allocated a rise of 0.5% per pupil in 2018/19 rising to 1% per pupil in 2019/20. This will replace the funding floor.
- 10. During the transition to the NFF, there will need to be local flexibilities within the formula to ensure the formula is affordable from the schools block allocation.
- 11. The funding protections in place and the future introduction of the Government's hard formula has led to Staffordshire's recommendation, endorsed by the Schools Forum in October, to transition to the NFF during the soft years.

#### Disadvantages and Advantages of NFF for Staffordshire

#### **Disadvantages**

- 12. Under the new NFF sparsity is measured as crow flies, rather than walking distance. Based on October 2016 school census data, this would mean that 22 schools that qualified for sparsity under the Staffordshire formula, would no longer qualify under the NFF.
- 13. The Looked After Children (LAC) funding factor no longer applies in the new formula.

#### Advantages

- 14. These changes should be mitigated by the per pupil protections, a larger lump sum for primaries and a greater percentage of the formula that would be allocated through deprivation measures.
- 15. Adopting the NFF proposals would mean that every Staffordshire school would gain in 2018/19 and 2019/20 on a per pupil basis. Under the existing Staffordshire formula, even with the injection of the extra cash, some schools would continue to lose. Conversely, some gainers would gain significantly more than their final NFF allocation and would therefore face a "cliff edge" reduction in funding when the 'hard' formula is put in place.

#### **Consultation with Schools**

- There was a 4 week consultation with schools. However, due to half term being taken into account, the overall length of consultation was actually 5 weeks. The consultation closed on Friday 24<sup>th</sup> November.
- 17. Of the 366 mainstream schools within Staffordshire 16 schools responded, a response rate of just over 4%. The results of the consultation from all respondents have been summarised in the table below.

	A	LL SCHOOLS	6	M	AINTAINED SCH	OOLS	ACADEMIES		
Phase	Number of	Number of responses	Percentage of responses agreeing	Total Number of	Number of responses agreeing	responses	Total Number of Responses	Number of responses	-
	Nesponses	agreenig	0		agreenig		•	agreenig	
First	4	2	50%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Primary	5	4	80%	3	2	67%	2	2	100%
High	7	7	100%	4	4	100%	3	3	100%
TOTAL	16	13	81%	11	8	73%	5	5	100%

18. From these 16 responses, 13 or 81% agreed with the proposed change, with the remaining 3 either querying or commenting on the change in how

sparsity is measured. These 3 respondents did not state whether they agreed or not with going over to the new formula.

- 19. The consultation document identified that 22 schools would lose out on sparsity funding due to the change in how it has been measured, i.e. from walking distance to crow flies. Of these 22 schools 3 or 14% responded with queries or comments surrounding the change.
- 20. Drawing conclusions from the response rate and that the responses were significantly positive, it can be inferred that there are no significant objections to the recommendation and that schools are broadly positive about the move to the NFF. The lack of response from schools no longer receiving sparsity could suggest that the schools are sufficiently content with the increase in the funding protections to mitigate against the loss of sparsity funding.

#### **Report author:**

Author's Name:	Will Wilkes
Ext. No.:	01785 278157
Room No.:	Staffordshire Place 2, Floor 2

# Appendix 1

## National Funding Formula

Funding Factors	Final NFF Funding Rates (£)	Total National Funding (£m)	Proportion of Total	Description
Basic per pupil funding		24,183	72.90%	
Primary AWPU	2,747	12,595	38.0%	
KS3 AWPU	3,863	6,668	20.1%	
KS4 AWPU	4,386	4,734	14.3%	
Minimum per pupil funding levels	.,	185	0.6%	
Additional Needs		5,906	17.80%	
Deprivation		3,022	9.1%	
Current FSM Primary	440	291		Based on Children who receive Free School Meals at
Current FSM Secondary	440	173	0.5%	the point of the October census.
FSM Ever 6 Primary	540	626	1.9%	Based on Children who have been eligible to receive Free School Meals at any point during the past 6
FSM Ever 6 Secondary	785	641	1.9%	years.
IDACI band F Primary	200	94	0.3%	
IDACI band F Secondary	290	80	0.2%	
IDACI band E Primary	240	101		Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI)
IDACI band E Secondary	390	95		scores are grouped into seven bands, with each band
IDACI band D Primary	360	131		representing an increase in the expected level of
IDACI band D Secondary	515	108		deprivation (Band G does not attract deprivation
IDACI band C Primary	390	123		funding). The Government matches IDACI data to
IDACI band C Secondary	560	102		pupils' home postcode data recorded in the school
IDACI band B Pimary	420 600	165 135		census in order to find the IDACI score relevant to
IDACI band B Secondary IDACI band A Primary	575	88		each pupil in a school.
IDACI band A Secondary	810	69	0.3% 0.2%	
		0.450	7 40/	
Low Prior Attainment	4.050	2,458	7.4%	
Low pior attainment Primary	1,050	1,531	4.6%	
Low pior attainment Secondary	1,550	928	2.8%	
EAL		405	1.2%	
EAL Primary	515	299	0.9%	The pupils eligible for funding through the national funding formula EAL factor are pupils recorded on the census as having entered state education in England
EAL Secondary	1,385	106	0.3%	during the last three years, and having been exposed to a mother tongue other than English.
School Led Funding		3,077	9.30%	<b>e</b>
Lump Sum		2,267	6.8%	
Primary	110,000	1,892		
Secondary	110,000	375	1.1%	
Middle	110,000			

Sparsity		26	0.1%
Primary			
Max Amount	25,000	21	0.1%
Average year group less than	21		
Average distance at least	2		
Middle			
Max Amount	65,000		
Average year group less than	69		
Average distance at least	2		
Secondary			
Max Amount	65,000	5	0.0%
Average year group less than	120		
Average distance at least	3		
Premises		610	1.8%
Explcit Growth		174	0.5%
0.5% per pupil uplift		624	